

- I) Ph - representation
- a) ref. state + excitations
 - b) Wigner theorem (+ gen. WT)
 - c) N-ordered H + approx. $3N$
- 20-30

- II) Density Matrix Operator
- 20-30

- III) HF eqns
- Motivation
 - deviation in $|\psi\rangle$, E^{HF} expressions
 - r-sparse version
 - Thouless Stability Criteria

Derivation of HF equations

* Want to find best variational estimate of E_{gs} within the restricted class of trial wf's that are simple Slater determinants

$$E_{\text{gs}} \leq E^{\text{HF}} = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \text{Slaterdet}} \frac{\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}$$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N a_i^\dagger |0\rangle \quad \text{the sp. states } a_i^\dagger |0\rangle = |i\rangle \text{ are the a-priori unknown HF basis}$$

Reminder: I use the convention
 a, b, c, \dots = particles
 i, j, k, \dots = holes
 g, r, s, \dots = unrestricted

* Expand HF basis in some fixed sp. basis (e.g., HO basis)

$$|q_f\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | q_f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle D_{\alpha q_f}$$

\uparrow
HF basis \uparrow
HO basis

$$\Rightarrow a_{q_f}^\dagger = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^\dagger D_{\alpha q_f} \quad \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha q_f} D_{\alpha q_f}^* = \delta_{q_f q_f} \quad (DD^\dagger = D^\dagger D = 1)$$

$$C_q = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} D_{\alpha q}^*$$

$$C_{\alpha}^\dagger = \sum_g a_{q_f}^\dagger D_{\alpha q_f}^* \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\alpha} = \sum_g a_{q_f} D_{\alpha q_f}$$

* Recall, any unitary transf. amongst the N-lowest (i.e., hole states) orbitals
Only changes $|\Phi\rangle$ by a phase

$$|\Phi'\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij} a_j^\dagger \right) |0\rangle = \underbrace{\sum_{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_N} U_{1j_1} U_{2j_2} \dots U_{nj_N}}_{\text{Det } U} a_{j_1}^\dagger a_{j_2}^\dagger \dots a_{j_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$= \text{Det } U \cdot |\Phi\rangle$$

irrelevant
phase since $UU^\dagger = 1$

Therefore, there is no 1-to-1 correspondence between the basis $|i\rangle$
+ the S.D. $|\Phi\rangle$

* However, there is a 1-to-1 correspondence between $|\Phi\rangle$ and
its 1-body density matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\alpha\beta} &= \langle \Phi | c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha | \Phi \rangle \\ &= \sum_q \sum_r D_{\beta q}^* D_{\alpha r} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | a_q^\dagger a_r | \Phi \rangle}_{\delta_{qr} n_r} \\ &= \sum_q D_{\beta q}^* D_{\alpha q} n_q = \sum_i D_{\beta i}^* D_{\alpha i} \\ \Rightarrow \rho_{\alpha\beta} &= \langle \Phi | c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \alpha | i \rangle \langle i | \beta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \Phi | c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle i | i \times i | \beta \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{equivalently, } P_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha | \hat{P} | \beta \rangle \quad \hat{P} = \sum_{i=1}^N | i \times i |$$

and
 $\hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}$ projector in Sp space onto
 the subspace spanned by
 occupied orbitals $|i\rangle$

* As we already showed, there is 1-to-1 correspondence between a Slater $|\Phi\rangle$ & its \hat{P} -operator

* also, recall that all Slater dets. have $\hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}$



$$E_{\text{qs}} \leq E^{\text{HF}} = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \text{Slater}} E[\Phi] \equiv \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \text{Slater det.}} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle}_{\sim}$$

doing this variation
 over all Slater dets.

equivalent to

varying \hat{P} subject to
 constraint $\hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}$

Express $E[\Phi] = \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle$ in terms of 1-body density matrix

$$E[\Phi] = \sum_{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta | \Phi \rangle}_{(A)} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} V_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta^\dagger C_\gamma C_\delta | \Phi \rangle}_{(B)}$$

$$(A) = \langle \Phi | C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi | \overbrace{C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta}^1 | \Phi \rangle = S_{\beta\alpha}$$

$$(B) = \langle \Phi | C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta^\dagger C_\gamma C_\delta | \Phi \rangle + \langle \Phi | C_\alpha^\dagger C_\beta^\dagger C_\delta C_\gamma | \Phi \rangle \\ + S_{\delta\beta} S_{\gamma\alpha} - S_{\delta\beta} S_{\beta\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[S] = \sum_{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} S_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} V_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (S_{\delta\beta} S_{\gamma\alpha} - S_{\delta\beta} S_{\beta\alpha})$$

(re-label) dummy
indices

$$E[S] = \sum_{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} S_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} V_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} S_{\delta\beta} S_{\gamma\alpha}$$

Variation of the $E[\hat{S}]$

$$\delta E = E[\hat{S} + \delta \hat{S}] - E[\hat{S}]$$

// What kind of variations $\delta \hat{S}$ can we make to stay in Slater determinant space for $| \Phi \rangle$?

(restricting to Slater det. $| \Phi \rangle \iff (\hat{S} + \delta \hat{S})^2 = (\hat{S} + \delta \hat{S})$ [ie, has to remain projector as we search over \hat{S}])

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{S} + \delta \hat{S})^2 = \hat{S}^2 + \hat{S} \delta \hat{S} + \delta \hat{S} \hat{S} + O(\delta \hat{S}^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{S} + \delta \hat{S})^2 = \cancel{\hat{S}} + \hat{S} \delta \hat{S} + \delta \hat{S} \hat{S} = \hat{S} + \delta \hat{S}$$

$\delta \hat{S} \hat{S} = (1 - \hat{S}) \delta \hat{S}$

 \otimes

Now, let $(1 - \hat{S}) \equiv \hat{\sigma}$ ($\hat{S} + \hat{\sigma} = 1$, $\hat{S}^2 = \hat{S}$, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\sigma}$, $\hat{S}\hat{\sigma} = 0$)

M. \otimes by \hat{S} from left: $\hat{S} \delta \hat{S} \hat{S} = \cancel{\hat{S}} \hat{\sigma} \delta \hat{S}$

M. \otimes by $\hat{\sigma}$ from right: $\delta \hat{S} \cancel{\hat{S}} \hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma} \delta \hat{S} \hat{\sigma}$

Thus we find that $\hat{S} \delta \hat{S} \hat{S} = \hat{\sigma} \delta \hat{S} \hat{\sigma} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S_{ab} = \delta S_{ij} = 0 \quad (\text{hh + pp variations vanish})$$

\Rightarrow Only variations of the ph sector $\delta P_{ai}, \delta P_{ia} \neq 0$

$$\text{So then, } \delta E = E[\rho + \delta \rho] - E[\rho] = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta E}{\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}} \delta \rho_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{1}{\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}} \left[\sum_{\sigma} T_{\alpha\sigma} \rho_{\sigma\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu\sigma\gamma} V_{\mu\nu\sigma\gamma} \rho_{\nu\beta} \rho_{\mu\sigma} \right]$$

$$= T_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\mu\sigma} V_{\mu\sigma\sigma\beta} \rho_{\mu\sigma} = h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{HF}}$$

$$\delta E = 0 = \sum_{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{HF}} \delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}$$

(basis indep, so write it in HF basis
where we know only $\delta P_{ai}, \delta P_{ia}$ non-zero)

$$= \sum_{qr} h_{qr}^{\text{HF}} \delta \rho_{qr}$$

$$= \sum_{ai} h_{ai}^{\text{HF}} \delta \rho_{ai} = 0$$

Since δP_{ai} arbitrary, this implies

$$h_{ai}^{\text{HF}} = 0 = h_{ia}^{\text{HF}} \quad (\text{Particle/hole states not mixed})$$

$$h_{ai}^{\text{HF}} = T_{ai} + \sum_{j=1}^N V_{aij} \rho_{ij} = 0$$

* Recalling that $\hat{P} = \sum_{i=1}^N |i\rangle\langle i|$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sum_{a=N+1}^{\infty} |a\rangle\langle a| = -\hat{P}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{P} h^{\text{HF}} (1 - \hat{P}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{P} h^{\text{HF}} - h^{\text{HF}} \hat{P} = 0 \quad \hat{P} + h^{\text{HF}} \text{ simultaneously diagonalizable}$$

$$h^{\text{HF}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P} h^{\text{HF}} \hat{P} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\sigma} h^{\text{HF}} \hat{\sigma} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{particle + hole blocks} \\ \text{can be diagonalized} \\ \text{separately} \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow Go ahead and diagonalize $\hat{P} + h^{\text{HF}}$ simultaneously

$\hat{P} q\rangle = n_q q\rangle$	$n_q = \begin{cases} 1 & q < N \\ 0 & q > N \end{cases}$
$h^{\text{HF}} q\rangle = \epsilon_q q\rangle$	

$$h^{\text{HF}} |q\rangle = \varepsilon_q |q\rangle \quad \textcircled{*}$$

* Now expand unknown $|q\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle D_{\alpha q}$

* Then eq. $\textcircled{*}$ becomes

$$\sum_{\beta} \langle \alpha | h^{\text{HF}} | \beta \rangle D_{\beta q} = \varepsilon_q D_{\alpha q}$$

$$\text{where } \langle \alpha | h^{\text{HF}} | \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha | T | \beta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{MV} \langle \alpha_M | V | \beta_V \rangle P_{MV}$$

Some known
fixed basis
(eg - H0)

Requires iterative sol'n since h^{HF} depends on the eigenfunctions $D_{\beta q}$

Via

$$P_{MV} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \langle M | i \rangle \langle i | V \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N D_{Mi} D_{Vi}^*$$

Schematic Soln Strategy

- ① Guess initial $P_{MV}^{(0)}$ (e.g., take the fixed $|\alpha\rangle$ basis as the initial guess for HF States $\Rightarrow P_{MV}^{(0)} = \sum_{MV} N_m$)
- ② Build $\langle \alpha | h^{\text{HF}} | \beta \rangle$
- ③ diagonalize $\Rightarrow D_{\alpha q}^{(1)}$

$$\text{+ build } P_{MV}^{(1)} = \sum_i D_{Mi}^{(1)} D_{Vi}^{(1)*}$$

iterate
till

things
don't
change